

# Math 5760/6890: Introduction to Mathematical Finance

See Petters and Dong 2016, Sections 1.1-1.3

Akil Narayan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, and Scientific Computing and Imaging (SCI) Institute  
University of Utah

Fall 2024



**Interest** is the price for access to money (typically someone else's).

*Why* does (temporary) access money cost more money?

- Money is a resource
- “Opportunity cost”: If a lender had not given money, they could have used it to make money in some other way
- Inflation: the purchasing power of \$1 generally decreases with time

**Interest** is the price for access to money (typically someone else's).

*Why* does (temporary) access money cost more money?

- Money is a resource
- “Opportunity cost”: If a lender had not given money, they could have used it to make money in some other way
- Inflation: the purchasing power of \$1 generally decreases with time

The amount of interest charged to a lendee is typically levied through a *rate* (price per unit time).

Hence, loans almost uniformly specify some basic terms:

- **Principal**: the amount of money the lender temporarily gives to the lendee.
- **Rate**: i.e., the “interest rate”, which is the per-time-unit cost that the lendee must bear.

Frequently loans must be paid in full (principal + interest), either in as a lump sum or in installments, by some specified end time of the loan, the **term**.

The time unit for quoting as rate is important. One of the most common time units is years.

## Example

A lender takes out a loan of \$500, with an annual (yearly) interest rate of 5%.

This means that at the end of one year, the total interest owed is  $0.05 \times 500 = \$25$ .

As seen above, the interest rate can be discussed either as a percentage (5%) or a decimal (0.05).

The time unit for quoting as rate is important. One of the most common time units is years.

## Example

A lender takes out a loan of \$500, with an annual (yearly) interest rate of 5%.

This means that at the end of one year, the total interest owed is  $0.05 \times 500 = \$25$ .

As seen above, the interest rate can be discussed either as a percentage (5%) or a decimal (0.05).

Hence generally if the interest rate is  $r$  (as a decimal) on a principal of amount  $P$ , and  $t$  units of time have passed, then the total (“simple”) interest owed is,

$$\text{“Simple” Interest} = trP.$$

This is just the charge for the service of instituting a loan – the principal  $P$  is also a cost the lender must bear!

The time unit for quoting as rate is important. One of the most common time units is years.

## Example

A lender takes out a loan of \$500, with an annual (yearly) interest rate of 5%.

This means that at the end of one year, the total interest owed is  $0.05 \times 500 = \$25$ .

As seen above, the interest rate can be discussed either as a percentage (5%) or a decimal (0.05).

Hence generally if the interest rate is  $r$  (as a decimal) on a principal of amount  $P$ , and  $t$  units of time have passed, then the total (“simple”) interest owed is,

$$\text{“Simple” Interest} = trP.$$

This is just the charge for the service of instituting a loan – the principal  $P$  is also a cost the lender must bear!

Interest rate conventions and extensions:

- We will always assume that the interest rate  $r$  is strictly positive and that  $r \ll 1$ . (Although  $r = 0$  has uses and  $r < 0$  is possible.)
- The rate  $r$  can depend on time  $t$ .
- For simple interest, the rate can easily be converted to other units of time: E.g., 5% annual rate equals a  $5/12\% \approx 0.417\%$  monthly rate.

There are several “real world” aspects of risk that we typically will not consider:

- “Risk”: Interest can also be used to offset the potential for the loan conditions to be violated, typically by the lender

There are several “real world” aspects of risk that we typically will not consider:

- “Risk”: Interest can also be used to offset the potential for the loan conditions to be violated, typically by the lender
- Transaction costs – the price of money exchanging hands



There are several “real world” aspects of risk that we typically will not consider:

- “Risk”: Interest can also be used to offset the potential for the loan conditions to be violated, typically by the lender
- Transaction costs – the price of money exchanging hands
- Taxes and other fees

There are several “real world” aspects of risk that we typically will not consider:

- “Risk”: Interest can also be used to offset the potential for the loan conditions to be violated, typically by the lender
- Transaction costs – the price of money exchanging hands
- Taxes and other fees

From the lender/investor point of view: assuming an end-term lump sum payment, the total worth of the loan as a financial instrument depends on the time elapsed  $t$ :

$$\text{Loan value} = (1 + rt)P. \quad (\text{for simple interest})$$

The *total return rate* is the *relative* change of the financial instrument:

$$\text{Total return rate} := \frac{(\text{Loan value}) - P}{P},$$

where we emphasize again that Loan value depends on  $t$ .

The *total return amount* is the numerator of the expression above.

## Example

Suppose I invest \$1,000 into a bond, with a(n annual) rate of 2% and a term of 3 years.  
Assume simple interest.

## Example

Suppose I invest \$1,000 into a bond, with a(n annual) rate of 2% and a term of 3 years.  
Assume simple interest.

The total bond payout at expiry of the term is 1,060.

The total return rate is 6% (over 3 years!)

Generally I could say that the value  $V(t)$  of my investement at time  $t$  is

$$V(t) = V(0)(1 + rt) = 1000(1 + 0.02t).$$

## Example

Suppose I invest \$1,000 into a bond, with a(n annual) rate of 2% and a term of 3 years.  
Assume simple interest.

The total bond payout at expiry of the term is 1,060.

The total return rate is 6% (over 3 years!)

Generally I could say that the value  $V(t)$  of my investement at time  $t$  is

$$V(t) = V(0)(1 + rt) = 1000(1 + 0.02t).$$

I.e.,  $V(t)$  is the time- $t$  value of  $V(0)$  today ( $t = 0$ ).

Alternatively,  $V(0)$  is the *present value* of  $V(t)$  dollars at time  $t$  (the future).

## Example

Suppose I invest \$1,000 into a bond, with a(n annual) rate of 2% and a term of 3 years. Assume simple interest.

The total bond payout at expiry of the term is 1,060.

The total return rate is 6% (over 3 years!)

Generally I could say that the value  $V(t)$  of my investement at time  $t$  is

$$V(t) = V(0)(1 + rt) = 1000(1 + 0.02t).$$

I.e.,  $V(t)$  is the time- $t$  value of  $V(0)$  today ( $t = 0$ ).

Alternatively,  $V(0)$  is the *present value* of  $V(t)$  dollars at time  $t$  (the future).

Bonds are typically sold for a fixed maturity amount (end-term value), at the present value.

I.e., If I sold a bond that will be worth \$1,000 in 3 years time at a rate of 2%, then the price of the bond today should be,

$$V(0) = \frac{V(t)}{1 + rt} = \frac{1000}{1 + 0.02 \times 3} \approx \$943.40$$

The coefficient  $(1 + rt)^{-1}$  is called the *discount factor*.

# Compound interest

D02-S06(a)

From the lending/investing point of view simple interest has a deficiency: after every period, interest is accrued, but may not yet be paid back, and hence is effectively additional money loaned.

*Compound* interest addresses this “problem” by levying the interest rate on the principal plus any accrued interest.

From the lending/investing point of view simple interest has a deficiency: after every period, interest is accrued, but may not yet be paid back, and hence is effectively additional money loaned.

*Compound* interest addresses this “problem” by levying the interest rate on the principal plus any accrued interest.

## Example

Suppose I invest \$1,000 into a bond, with a(n annual) rate of 2%.  
Assume compound interest, compounded every year.

The total value  $V(t)$  for integers  $t$  is then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(t) &= V(0) + \overbrace{rV(0)}^{\text{Year 1 interest}} + \overbrace{rV(1)}^{\text{Year 2 interest}} + \overbrace{rV(2)}^{\text{Year 3 interest}} + \dots \\
 &= V(0) + rV(0) + r(V(0) + rV(0)) + r[V(0) + rV(0) + r(V(0) + rV(0))] + \dots \\
 &= (1+r)^t V_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

$V(1)$ 
 $V(2)$



From the lending/investing point of view simple interest has a deficiency: after every period, interest is accrued, but may not yet be paid back, and hence is effectively additional money loaned.

*Compound* interest addresses this “problem” by levying the interest rate on the principal plus any accrued interest.

### Example

Suppose I invest \$1,000 into a bond, with a(n annual) rate of 2%.  
Assume compound interest, compounded every year.

The total value  $V(t)$  for integers  $t$  is then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(t) &= V(0) + \underbrace{rV_0}_{\text{Year 1 interest}} + \underbrace{rV(1)}_{\text{Year 2 interest}} + \underbrace{rV(2)}_{\text{Year 3 interest}} + \dots \\
 &= V(0) + rV(0) + r(V(0) + rV(0)) + r[V(0) + rV(0) + r(V(0) + rV(0))] + \dots \\
 &= (1 + r)^t V_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Or more transparently:

$$V(t) = (1 + r)V(t - 1) = (1 + r)(1 + r)V(t - 2) = \dots = (1 + r)^t V(0).$$

In general, a loan of term 3 years is worth  $\$1000 \times (1 + 0.02)^3 \approx \$1,061.21 > \$1,060$ .

Loans involving compound interest typically quote an annual rate, which is prorated and applied (compounded) across several *periods*. For example, suppose a principal  $P$  has a rate of 3% that is compounded weekly (52 weeks per year). Then the total value of the loan after 3 years will be,

$$P \left( 1 + \frac{0.03}{52} \right)^{156} .$$

Loans involving compound interest typically quote an annual rate, which is prorated and applied (compounded) across several *periods*. For example, suppose a principal  $P$  has a rate of 3% that is compounded weekly (52 weeks per year). Then the total value of the loan after 3 years will be,

$$P \left( 1 + \frac{0.03}{52} \right)^{156} .$$

More generally, a loan principal  $P$  with (annual) rate  $r$  with  $k$ -periodic compounding over  $n$  periods has value,

$$P \left( 1 + \frac{r}{k} \right)^n .$$

And *fractional compounding* extends this formula to non-integer periods:

$$\text{Loan value} = P \left( 1 + \frac{r}{k} \right)^x , \quad x \geq 0 .$$

Note: many loans apply simple interest (not compound) over fractional periods.

Loans involving compound interest typically quote an annual rate, which is prorated and applied (compounded) across several *periods*. For example, suppose a principal  $P$  has a rate of 3% that is compounded weekly (52 weeks per year). Then the total value of the loan after 3 years will be,

$$P \left( 1 + \frac{0.03}{52} \right)^{156} .$$

More generally, a loan principal  $P$  with (annual) rate  $r$  with  $k$ -periodic compounding over  $n$  periods has value,

$$P \left( 1 + \frac{r}{k} \right)^n .$$

And *fractional compounding* extends this formula to non-integer periods:

$$\text{Loan value} = P \left( 1 + \frac{r}{k} \right)^x , \quad x \geq 0 .$$

Note: many loans apply simple interest (not compound) over fractional periods. We saw in the previous example that compounding interest (annually) was better (for the investor) than simple interest.

Is this true in general?

## Simplifying the question (and a smidge of math)

D02-S08(a)

Suppose I have a loan rate  $r$  (say an annual rate), and consider an arbitrary number of periods  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for a single time unit (say a year).

The question is: how does compound interest compare to the simple interest?

$\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

## Simplifying the question (and a smidge of math)

D02-S08(b)

Suppose I have a loan rate  $r$  (say an annual rate), and consider an arbitrary number of periods  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for a single time unit (say a year).

The question is: how does compound interest compare to the simple interest?

$$SI = P(1 + r), \quad (\text{Simple interest})$$

$$CI = P \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^k, \quad (k\text{-periodic compound interest})$$

## Simplifying the question (and a smidge of math)

D02-S08(c)

Suppose I have a loan rate  $r$  (say an annual rate), and consider an arbitrary number of periods  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for a single time unit (say a year).

The question is: how does compound interest compare to the simple interest?

$$SI = P(1 + r), \quad (\text{Simple interest})$$

$$CI = P \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^k, \quad (k\text{-periodic compound interest})$$

## Simplifying the question (and a smidge of math)

D02-S08(d)

Suppose I have a loan rate  $r$  (say an annual rate), and consider an arbitrary number of periods  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for a single time unit (say a year).

The question is: how does compound interest compare to the simple interest?

$$SI = P(1 + r), \quad (\text{Simple interest})$$

$$CI = P \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^k, \quad (k\text{-periodic compound interest})$$

To compare  $SI$  versus  $CI$ , first recall the Binominal Theorem:

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{q=0}^k a^q b^{k-q} \binom{k}{q}, \quad \binom{k}{q} = \frac{k!}{q!(k-q)!},$$



Suppose I have a loan rate  $r$  (say an annual rate), and consider an arbitrary number of periods  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for a single time unit (say a year).

The question is: how does compound interest compare to the simple interest?

$$SI = P(1 + r), \quad (\text{Simple interest})$$

$$CI = P \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^k, \quad (k\text{-periodic compound interest})$$

To compare  $SI$  versus  $CI$ , first recall the Binominal Theorem:

$$(a + b)^k = \sum_{q=0}^k a^q b^{k-q} \binom{k}{q}, \quad \binom{k}{q} = \frac{k!}{q!(k-q)!},$$

Applying this to  $CI$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{CI}{P} &= \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^k = 1^k \binom{k}{0} + 1^{k-1} \binom{k}{1} \frac{r}{k} + 1^{k-2} \binom{k}{2} \left(\frac{r}{k}\right)^2 + \dots \\ &= 1 + \frac{r}{k}k + \underbrace{\frac{r^2}{k^2} \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \dots}_{\text{Some non-negative stuff}} \\ &\geq 1 + r = \frac{SI}{P} \end{aligned}$$

A  $k$ -periodic compounded interest on principal  $P$  over  $t$  total time units with rate  $r$  corresponds to a value of

$$P \left( 1 + \frac{r}{k} \right)^{kt}.$$

We have essentially seen that larger  $k$  yields higher value.

A  $k$ -periodic compounded interest on principal  $P$  over  $t$  total time units with rate  $r$  corresponds to a value of

$$P \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^{kt}.$$

We have essentially seen that larger  $k$  yields higher value. *Continuous* compounding sends  $k$  to infinity:

$$\lim_{k \uparrow \infty} P \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^{kt} = P \left[ \lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^k \right]^t = Pe^{rt}.$$

A  $k$ -periodic compounded interest on principal  $P$  over  $t$  total time units with rate  $r$  corresponds to a value of

$$P \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^{kt}.$$

We have essentially seen that larger  $k$  yields higher value. *Continuous* compounding sends  $k$  to infinity:

$$\lim_{k \uparrow \infty} P \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^{kt} = P \left[ \lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^k \right] = Pe^{rt}.$$

Another way to see this is to consider a differential equations model:

Change in value per unit time = (Interest rate)  $\times$  (Current value)

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = rV,$$

and when supplemented with the initial data  $V(0) = P$ , this yields,

$$V(t) = Pe^{rt}.$$

# How do banks compute interest?

D02-S10(a)

More compounding periods is beneficial for lenders...

But continuous compounding introduces logistical challenges.

# How do banks compute interest?

D02-S10(b)

More compounding periods is beneficial for lenders...

But continuous compounding introduces logistical challenges.

- Loans are often processed through intermediaries, so the moment money leaves the bank, it might not be available to the lendee
- When a lendee pays back equity, at what precise time is the equity credited to the loan?

# How do banks compute interest?

D02-S10(c)

More compounding periods is beneficial for lenders...

But continuous compounding introduces logistical challenges.

- Loans are often processed through intermediaries, so the moment money leaves the bank, it might not be available to the lende
- When a lende pays back equity, at what precise time is the equity credited to the loan?

Banks typically compound interest daily, with some nuances:

- Periods are measured with *exact time*, which is the lending time interval in days, minus 1.
- *Exact interest* is computed using 365 days/year
- *Ordinary interest* is computed using 360 days/year (30 days per month for 12 months)

Bank's frequently loan on exact time with ordinary interest.

## How do banks compute interest?

More compounding periods is beneficial for lenders...

But continuous compounding introduces logistical challenges.

- Loans are often processed through intermediaries, so the moment money leaves the bank, it might not be available to the lendee
- When a lendee pays back equity, at what precise time is the equity credited to the loan?

Banks typically compound interest daily, with some nuances:

- Periods are measured with *exact time*, which is the lending time interval in days, minus 1.
- *Exact interest* is computed using 365 days/year
- *Ordinary interest* is computed using 360 days/year (30 days per month for 12 months)

Bank's frequently loan on exact time with ordinary interest.

Finally, a PSA: the annual percentage rate (APR)  $r$  is the interest rate.

It's *not* the simple interest rate you owe.

The annual percentage yield (APY) is the actual yearly percentage change (computed using compounding formulas), e.g., for APR  $r$  over 1 year:

$$APY = \left(1 + \frac{r}{360}\right)^{360} - 1 \quad (\text{as a ratio})$$



# Who sets interest rates?

D02-S11(a)

Interest rates can be set by any entity, but must be competitive on the open market in order to attract customers.

Interest rates can be set by any entity, but must be competitive on the open market in order to attract customers.

In the US, the central bank (i.e., monetary authority) sets short-term ( $\leq 12$  months) interest rates.

This is the *Federal Reserve* (the “Fed”), specifically an appendage of this institution, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC).

Interest rates can be set by any entity, but must be competitive on the open market in order to attract customers.

In the US, the central bank (i.e., monetary authority) sets short-term ( $\leq 12$  months) interest rates.

This is the *Federal Reserve* (the “Fed”), specifically an appendage of this institution, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC). The FOMC has direct power over the *federal discount rate* – the rate at which the Fed loans to banks. (This is typically higher than loans on the open market.)

The FOMC also has power to set variable interest rate behavior.

The FOMC has indirect power to set the *federal funds rate* – the rate at which banks lend money to each other. It exercises this power by purchasing and/or selling financial products.

Interest rates can be set by any entity, but must be competitive on the open market in order to attract customers.

In the US, the central bank (i.e., monetary authority) sets short-term ( $\leq 12$  months) interest rates.

This is the *Federal Reserve* (the “Fed”), specifically an appendage of this institution, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC). The FOMC has direct power over the *federal discount rate* – the rate at which the Fed loans to banks. (This is typically higher than loans on the open market.)

The FOMC also has power to set variable interest rate behavior.

The FOMC has indirect power to set the *federal funds rate* – the rate at which banks lend money to each other. It exercises this power by purchasing and/or selling financial products.

Long-term interest rates are mostly set by market forces – a particularly powerful influencer is the auctioned price of long-term treasury bonds on the market.

Mortgage rates are determined by market forces, in particular the purchasing and trading of mortgage loans.



Petters, Arlie O. and Xiaoying Dong (2016). *An Introduction to Mathematical Finance with Applications: Understanding and Building Financial Intuition*. Springer. ISBN: 978-1-4939-3783-7.