Math 5760/6890: Introduction to Mathematical Finance A (rather non-technical) primer on Stochastic Processes See Petters and Dong [2016](#page-37-0), Section 6.1, 6.6

Akil Narayan¹

 1 Department of Mathematics, and Scientific Computing and Imaging (SCI) Institute University of Utah

Fall 2024

A brief intro to stochastic processes D21-S02(a)

In order to gain further understanding of our continuous-time binomial tree construction, we require some language of stochastic processes.

The high-level idea: we'll be formalizing random variables that are functions of time *t*.

A brief intro to stochastic processes D21-S02(b)

In order to gain further understanding of our continuous-time binomial tree construction, we require some language of stochastic processes.

The high-level idea: we'll be formalizing random variables that are functions of time *t*.

To get there we'll go through some abstract formalization:

Definition Let Ω be (probabilistic) event space, and let $\mathcal I$ be a set. A stochastic process $X = X(t, \omega)$ is a map,

 $X: \mathcal{I} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, \quad X(t,\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $t \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

A brief intro to stochastic processes D21-S02(c)

In order to gain further understanding of our continuous-time binomial tree construction, we require some language of stochastic processes.

The high-level idea: we'll be formalizing random variables that are functions of time *t*.

To get there we'll go through some abstract formalization:

Definition Let Ω be (probabilistic) event space, and let $\mathcal I$ be a set. A stochastic process $X = X(t, \omega)$ is a map,

 $X: \mathcal{I} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, \quad X(t,\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $t \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

The set I is called the *index set* of the process X , and $\mathbb R$ is the *state space*.

For fixed $t \in \mathcal{I}$, $X(t, \cdot)$ is a scalar random variable (e.g., and has a distribution).

For fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, $X(\cdot, \omega)$ is a deterministic scalar-valued function on the domain *I*.

Example

Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a random vector. (Say the unknown time-1 price in Markowitz portfolio analysis.)

Then *X* is a stochastic process with index set $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$.

Example

Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a random vector. (Say the unknown time-1 price in Markowitz portfolio analysis.)

Then *X* is a stochastic process with index set $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$.

Example

Consider an *n*-period Binomial tree model with parameters $(p, u, d) = (p_n, u_n, d_n)$ fixed. (E.g., via a CRR model.)

The asset prices at every period can be lumped into a vector $\mathbf{S} \coloneqq (S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Example

Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a random vector. (Say the unknown time-1 price in Markowitz portfolio analysis.)

Then *X* is a stochastic process with index set $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$.

Example

Consider an *n*-period Binomial tree model with parameters $(p, u, d) = (p_n, u_n, d_n)$ fixed. (E.g., via a CRR model.)

The asset prices at every period can be lumped into a vector $\mathbf{S} \coloneqq (S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Then *S* is a stochastic process with index set $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}.$

Continuum index sets D21-S04(a)

The previous examples had *discrete* index sets.

Of course, there is nothing stopping us from considering a continuous index set.

For example, if $S(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$ was the continuous-time model that we described from our $n \uparrow \infty$ limit of the CRR model, then *S* is a stochastic process with index set $\mathcal{I} = [0, T]$.

Continuum index sets D21-S04(b)

The previous examples had *discrete* index sets.

Of course, there is nothing stopping us from considering a continuous index set.

For example, if $S(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$ was the continuous-time model that we described from our $n \uparrow \infty$ limit of the CRR model, then *S* is a stochastic process with index set $\mathcal{I} = [0, T]$.

When we have an index set that's a continuum, we can discussing some standard notions of functional analysis.

Definition

A realization of a stochastic process $X(\cdot,\omega)$ (for a fixed $\omega \in \Omega$) with a continuum index set $\mathcal I$ is said to have a continuous sample path if

$$
\lim_{s \to t} X(s, \omega) = X(\mathcal{J}, \omega),
$$
 for every $t \in \mathcal{I}$.

This notion of continuity involves a single, fixed ω .

Continuous stochastic processes D21-S05(a)

A proper extension of continuity (or any other) property to "all ω " is more delicate.

Definition

Let *X* be a stochastic process with a continuum index set $\mathcal{I} = [0, \infty)$. Then *X* is **continuous** if

 $\widetilde{\Omega} \coloneqq \big\{\omega \in \Omega \; \big| \; \; X \text{ has a continuous sample path at } \omega \big\} \, ,$

satisfies $P(\tilde{\Omega}) = 1$.

Alternative languange: *X* is sample-path continuous, or almost surely continuous, or continuous with probability 1.

Continuous stochastic processes D21-S05(b)

A proper extension of continuity (or any other) property to "all ω " is more delicate.

Definition

Let X be a stochastic process with a continuum index set $\mathcal{I} = [0, \infty)$. Then X is **continuous** if

 $\widetilde{\Omega} \coloneqq \big\{\omega \in \Omega \; \big| \; \; X \text{ has a continuous sample path at } \omega \big\} \, ,$

satisfies $P(\tilde{\Omega}) = 1$.

Alternative languange: *X* is sample-path continuous, or almost surely continuous, or continuous with probability 1.

```
Why not "for all \omega"?
```
The mathematics of stochastic processes makes statements "with probability 1" the more natural statements to consider.

Asking for properties "for every ω " is too strong in the sense that asserting this limits our flexibility for analysis.

And since we really only care about probabilities of things, asking for statements in terms of probabilities is conceptually natural.

A stochastic process for securities $D21-S06(a)$

Our next major goal will be to identify and investigate a particular stochastic process that forms the foundation of mathematical finance.

To describe what kind of stochastic process we want, let's consider the log-return for our *n*-period discrete-time binomial tree model:

$$
S_j = S(t_j), \qquad L_j = \log \frac{S_{j+1}}{S_j}, \qquad t_j = j\hbar_n, \qquad h_n = \frac{T}{n}.
$$

Recall that we model *L^j* through a coin flip. More precisely,

$$
L_j \sim \log d_n + \log \frac{u_n}{d_n} X,
$$
 $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_n).$

A stochastic process for securities $D21-S06(b)$

Our next major goal will be to identify and investigate a particular stochastic process that forms the foundation of mathematical finance.

To describe what kind of stochastic process we want, let's consider the log-return for our *n*-period discrete-time binomial tree model:

$$
S_j = S(t_j), \qquad L_j = \log \frac{S_{j+1}}{S_j}, \qquad t_j = jh_n, \qquad h_n = \frac{T}{n}.
$$

Recall that we model *L^j* through a coin flip. More precisely,

$$
L_j \sim \log d_n + \log \frac{u_n}{d_n} X,
$$
 $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_n).$

Suppose we take $n \uparrow \infty$, and we define $L(t)$ as the *cumulative* log-return from time 0:

$$
L(T)^{a} = " \log S_0 + \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_j.
$$

where $n \uparrow \infty$ affects the values of (p_n, u_n, d_n) .

A stochastic process for securities $D21-S06(c)$

Our next major goal will be to identify and investigate a particular stochastic process that forms the foundation of mathematical finance.

To describe what kind of stochastic process we want, let's consider the log-return for our *n*-period discrete-time binomial tree model:

$$
S_j = S(t_j), \qquad L_j = \log \frac{S_{j+1}}{S_j}, \qquad t_j = jh_n, \qquad h_n = \frac{T}{n}.
$$

Recall that we model *L^j* through a coin flip. More precisely,

$$
L_j \sim \log d_n + \log \frac{u_n}{d_n} X,
$$
 $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_n).$

Suppose we take $n \uparrow \infty$, and we define $L(t)$ as the *cumulative* log-return from time 0:

$$
L(T)^{a} = " \log S_0 + \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_j.
$$

where $n \uparrow \infty$ affects the values of (p_n, u_n, d_n) .

This in principle defines a stochastic process $L(t)$ with index set $\mathcal{I} = [0, T]$. What properties should this process have?

A more explicit construction $D21-S07(a)$

Consider our real-world CRR tree, which assigns (p_n, u_n, d_n) as,

$$
u_n = \exp(\sigma \sqrt{h_n}),
$$
 $d_n = \exp(-\sigma \sqrt{h_n}),$ $p_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma} \sqrt{h_n} \right),$

for given drift and volatility (μ, σ) . These numbers affect the distribution of L_j .

A more explicit construction $D21-S07(b)$

Consider our real-world CRR tree, which assigns (p_n, u_n, d_n) as,

$$
u_n = \exp(\sigma \sqrt{h_n}),
$$
 $d_n = \exp(-\sigma \sqrt{h_n}),$ $p_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma} \sqrt{h_n} \right),$

for given drift and volatility (μ, σ) . These numbers affect the distribution of L_j .

Let's simplify things a bit: let's consider a particular real-world model with $(\mu, \sigma) = (0, 1)$.

Under this assumption, then

$$
L_j = \begin{cases} \sqrt{h_n}, & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}, \\ -\sqrt{h_n}, & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}
$$

I.e., the cumulative sum of the *L^j* corresponds to a symmetric random walk.

A more explicit construction $D21-S07(c)$

Consider our real-world CRR tree, which assigns (p_n, u_n, d_n) as,

$$
u_n = \exp(\sigma \sqrt{h_n}),
$$
 $d_n = \exp(-\sigma \sqrt{h_n}),$ $p_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma} \sqrt{h_n} \right),$

for given drift and volatility (μ, σ) . These numbers affect the distribution of L_j .

Let's simplify things a bit: let's consider a particular real-world model with $(\mu, \sigma) = (0, 1)$.

Under this assumption, then

$$
L_j = \begin{cases} \sqrt{h_n}, & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}, \\ -\sqrt{h_n}, & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}
$$

I.e., the cumulative sum of the *L^j* corresponds to a symmetric random walk.

The goal now is to identify/construct a stochastic process $L(t)$ that:

- is consistent with what happens to $\log(S_n/S_0)$ when we take $n \uparrow \infty$
- has the properties we desire from the finance perspective

– We want $L(t)$ to be distributed like $\mathcal{N}(0, t)$ for all *t*.

$$
|Recal|: S(t) \sim lognormal(\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t, \sigma^2 t) \quad (S_{\rho} = 1)
$$

$$
[\mu, \sigma)^{2}[\theta_{1}] \Rightarrow S(t) \sim lognormal(\theta, t)
$$

$$
log(\frac{f(t)}{S_{o}})^{2}L(t) \sim N(\theta_{r}t)
$$

- We want $L(t)$ to be distributed like $\mathcal{N}(0,t)$ for all t.
- We want non-overlapping *increments*, like $L(3) L(2)$ and $L(2) L(1)$, to have independent distributions.

Coir flips are all independent: coin flips are discrete-time
\nIn comments,
\nSo the "con flips" determining
$$
L(t_2)-L(t_1)
$$
 should
\nbe independent of the "con flips" determining $L(t_4)-L(t_3)$
\nSo long as (t_1, t_2) and (t_3, t_4) are disjoint.

- We want $L(t)$ to be distributed like $\mathcal{N}(0,t)$ for all t.
- $-$ We want non-overlapping *increments*, like $L(3) L(2)$ and $L(2) L(1)$, to have independent distributions.
- $-$ If we know that $L(t) = L_0$, then we want the *increments*, $L(t + \epsilon) L(t)$, to be distributed like $\mathcal{N}(0, \epsilon)$.

\n
$$
\int e_{1}
$$
, starting at time t and "fliophg coins" until time $t \in$ 112
\n*Should correspond to a* (log) variance of (118)- $t = \varepsilon$.\n

- $-$ We want $L(t)$ to be distributed like $\mathcal{N}(0,t)$ for all t.
- $-$ We want non-overlapping *increments*, like $L(3) L(2)$ and $L(2) L(1)$, to have independent distributions.
- If we know that $L(t) = L_0$, then we want the *increments*, $L(t + \epsilon) L(t)$, to be distributed like $\mathcal{N}(0, \epsilon)$.
- We want Markovian structure: If we assume the knowledge $L(t) = L_0$, then our understanding of the properties and distribution of $L(s)$ for $s > t$ is the same as if we had knowledge of $L(r)$ for all $r \leq t$.

- $-$ We want $L(t)$ to be distributed like $\mathcal{N}(0,t)$ for all t.
- $-$ We want non-overlapping *increments*, like $L(3) L(2)$ and $L(2) L(1)$, to have independent distributions.
- If we know that $L(t) = L_0$, then we want the *increments*, $L(t + \epsilon) L(t)$, to be distributed like $\mathcal{N}(0, \epsilon)$.
- We want Markovian structure: If we assume the knowledge $L(t) = L_0$, then our understanding of the properties and distribution of $L(s)$ for $s > t$ is the same as if we had knowledge of $L(r)$ for all $r \leq t$.

There is essentially just one process satisfying these conditions: Brownian motion.

Brownian motion

$$
D21-S09(a)
$$

Definition

With index set $\mathcal{I} = [0, \infty)$, a standard Brownian motion/Wiener process $B = B_t = B(t)$ is a stochastic process satisfying

- 1. $P(B(0) = 0) = 1$
- 2. *B* is continuous with probability 1
- 3. The *n* sequential increments formed by any choice of $n + 1$ ordered time points t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1} are mutually independent
- 4. For any $0 \le s \le t < \infty$, then $B(t) B(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t s)$.

$$
z_{1.e.,} \beta(t_{2}) - \beta(t_{1})
$$
 is independent of $\beta(t_{2}) - \beta(t_{2})$, which is the $\beta(t_{1}) - \beta(t_{2})$.

$$
\beta(t_{1}) - \beta(t_{2}), \quad \beta(t_{2}) - \beta(t_{1})
$$

Brownian motion

$$
D21-S09(b)
$$

Definition

With index set $\mathcal{I} = [0, \infty)$, a standard Brownian motion/Wiener process $B = B_t = B(t)$ is a stochastic process satisfying

- 1. $P(B(0) = 0) = 1$
- 2. *B* is continuous with probability 1
- 3. The *n* sequential increments formed by any choice of $n + 1$ ordered time points t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1} are mutually independent
- 4. For any $0 \le s \le t < \infty$, then $B(t) B(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t s)$.

Some initial remarks:

 $-$ The reason we use indefinite articles (a Brownian motion) is the same reason we use indefinite articles to describe random variables (*X* is a normal random variable).

Brownian motion D21-S09(c)

Definition

With index set $\mathcal{I} = [0, \infty)$, a standard Brownian motion/Wiener process $B = B_t = B(t)$ is a stochastic process satisfying

- 1. $P(B(0) = 0) = 1$
- 2. *B* is continuous with probability 1
- 3. The *n* sequential increments formed by any choice of $n + 1$ ordered time points t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1} are mutually independent

4. For any
$$
0 \leq s \leq t < \infty
$$
, then $B(t) - B(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$.

Some initial remarks:

- $-$ The reason we use indefinite articles (a Brownian motion) is the same reason we use indefinite articles to describe random variables (*X* is a normal random variable).
- $-$ The last property implies that for every $s, t, h \geq 0$:

$$
B(t+h) - B(t) \sim B(s+h) - B(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0,h)
$$

Brownian motion **D21-S09(d)**

Definition

With index set $\mathcal{I} = [0, \infty)$, a standard Brownian motion/Wiener process $B = B_t = B(t)$ is a stochastic process satisfying

- 1. $P(B(0) = 0) = 1$
- 2. *B* is continuous with probability 1
- 3. The *n* sequential increments formed by any choice of $n + 1$ ordered time points t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1} are mutually independent

4. For any
$$
0 \leq s \leq t < \infty
$$
, then $B(t) - B(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$.

Some initial remarks:

- The reason we use indefinite articles (a Brownian motion) is the same reason we use indefinite articles to describe random variables (*X* is a normal random variable).
- $-$ The last property implies that for every $s, t, h \geq 0$:

$$
B(t+h) - B(t) \sim B(s+h) - B(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0,h)
$$

 $-$ The four properties above are typically concisely referred to, respectively, as: $B(0) = X$ with probability 1, *B* is sample-continuous with probability 1, *B* has independent increments, and *B* has (time-)stationary and normally-distributed increments.

Affine functions of Brownian motion and the set of the D21-S10(a)

Let $B(t)$ be a standard Brownian motion, and let $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\sigma > 0$. Then the process

$$
A(t) = b_0 + \mu t + \sigma B(t),
$$

is a Brownian motion with drift and scaling:

- $-$ It has time-0 value b_0 with probability 1.
- It has deterministic drift *µt*
- It has scaling σ

$$
\mathbb{E}[A(t) - A(v)] = \mathbb{E}[b_{0} + \mu t + \sigma B(t) - b_{0}]
$$

$$
= \mathbb{E}[\mu t + \sigma B(t)] = \mu t
$$

$$
\forall \omega A(t) = \sigma^{2}Var B(t) = \sigma^{2} t
$$

Affine functions of Brownian motion and the state of the D21-S10(b)

Let $B(t)$ be a standard Brownian motion, and let $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\sigma > 0$. Then the process

 $A(t) = b_0 + \mu t + \sigma B(t),$

is a Brownian motion with drift and scaling:

- $-$ It has time-0 value $b₀$ with probability 1.
- $-$ It has deterministic drift μt
- It has scaling σ

Example

What distribution do the increments of $A(t)$ have?

$$
F[A(t) - A(0)] = \mu t \qquad Var [A(t)] = \sigma^2 t
$$

$$
A(t) \text{ is normally distributed } \rightarrow A(t) - A(0) \sim N(\mu t, \sigma^2 t)
$$

Other properties D21-S11(a)

Brownian motion is a *fascinating* object:

– With probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is continuous in time.

– For any interval *I* Ä r0*,* 8q of finite length, with probability 1, the sample path *B*p¨*,* !q has infinite "variation" One trajectory? – *B* has Markovian structure: Fix *s* ° 0 and define *A*p*t*q :" *B*p*t* ` *s*q ´ *B*p*s*q. Then *A*p*t*q and *B*p*t*q have the same

 $B(t)$ β le γ γ γ $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$

Other properties D21-S11(b)

- With probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is continuous in time.
- With probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is differentiable nowhere

Other properties $D21-S11(c)$

- With probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is continuous in time.
- With probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is differentiable nowhere
- $I =$ For any interval $I \subset [0, \infty)$ of finite length, with probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ has infinite "variation" on *I*.

Other properties D21-S11(d)

- With probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is continuous in time.
- With probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is differentiable nowhere
- For any interval $I \subset [0,\infty)$ of finite length, with probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot,\omega)$ has infinite "variation" on *I*.
- $-B$ has Markovian structure: Fix $s > 0$ and define $A(t) := B(t + s) B(s)$. Then $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ have the same distribution, and in particular *A* is a standard Brownian motion.

Other properties D21-S11(e)

- With probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is continuous in time.
- With probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot, \omega)$ is differentiable nowhere
- For any interval $I \subset [0,\infty)$ of finite length, with probability 1, the sample path $B(\cdot,\omega)$ has infinite "variation" on *I*.
- $-B$ has Markovian structure: Fix $s > 0$ and define $A(t) := B(t + s) B(s)$. Then $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ have the same distribution, and in particular *A* is a standard Brownian motion.
- $-$ Sample paths of Brownian motion are self-similar/fractals. In particular, for any $c > 0$, the process $\frac{1}{c}B(c^2t)$ is a standard Brownian motion.

A. Narayan (U. Utah – Math/SCI) and the state of the state of the [Math 5760/6890: Stochastic processes](#page-0-0)

In relation to securities.... D21-S13(a)

To close the loop: a standard Brownian motion $B(t)$ is exactly a continuous-time process whose properties line up with $L(t)$, our continuous-time limit of the binomial tree model.

In particular, if $(\mu, \sigma, S_0) = (0, 1, 1)$, then we will identify

 $L(t)$ ^{*a*} = "*B*(*t*)*.*

In relation to securities.... D21-S13(b)

To close the loop: a standard Brownian motion $B(t)$ is exactly a continuous-time process whose properties line up with $L(t)$, our continuous-time limit of the binomial tree model.

In particular, if $(\mu, \sigma, S_0) = (0, 1, 1)$, then we will identify

 $L(t)$ ^{*a*} = "*B*(*t*)*.*

As one might expect, for $\mu \neq 0$ and $\sigma \neq 1$, then the appropriate identification is,

 $L(t) = " \mu t + \sigma B(t).$

In relation to securities.... D21-S13(c)

To close the loop: a standard Brownian motion $B(t)$ is exactly a continuous-time process whose properties line up with $L(t)$, our continuous-time limit of the binomial tree model.

In particular, if $(\mu, \sigma, S_0) = (0, 1, 1)$, then we will identify

 $L(t)^{u} = "B(t).$

As one might expect, for $\mu \neq 0$ and $\sigma \neq 1$, then the appropriate identification is,

 $L(t)$ ["] = " $\mu t + \sigma B(t)$.

While in principle we are done in terms of identifying a mathematical model for $L(t)$, we have actually just begun to reap benefits from this model.

In particular, the fact that $B(t)$ has sample paths or *trajectories* suggests that there is an underlying time-evolution law.

Stochastic calculus is the appropriate language we'll use to explore such concepts.

歸

Petters, Arlie O. and Xiaoying Dong (2016). *An Introduction to Mathematical Finance with Applications: Understanding and Building Financial Intuition*. Springer. ISBN: 978-1-4939-3783-7.