## DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

# Analysis of Numerical Methods I MATH 6610 – Section 001 – Fall 2025 Homework 6 Conditioning and LU

#### Due Wednesday, October 1, 2025

#### Submission instructions:

Submit your assignment on gradescope.

### Problem assignment:

- 1. (Least squares problems) Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^m$  be given.  $\mathbf{A}^+$  denotes the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- (a) Assume rank( $\mathbf{A}$ ) = n. Prove that  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{+}\mathbf{b}$  solves the least squares problem,

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \|\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}\|_2$$

- (b) Show that when rank( $\mathbf{A}$ ) = n, the least squares solution  $\mathbf{x}$  equivalently is the unique solution to the normal equations,  $\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{b}$ .
- (c) For general A, prove that  $x = A^+b$  solves the minimum-norm least squares problem,

$$\min \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2$$
 subject to  $\|\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b}\|_2$  is minimized

**2.** ( $\epsilon$ -pseudospectrum) Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . We let  $\Lambda(\mathbf{A})$  denote the spectrum (set of eigenvalues) of  $\mathbf{A}$ . For some  $\epsilon > 0$ , the  $\epsilon$ -pseudospectrum of  $\mathbf{A}$  is the set of complex numbers  $\Lambda_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{A}) \subset \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$\Lambda_{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{A}) \coloneqq \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \; \; \middle| \; \; z \in \Lambda(\boldsymbol{A} + \Delta \boldsymbol{A}) \text{ for some } \Delta \boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \text{ satisyfing } \left\| \Delta \boldsymbol{A} \right\|_2 \leq \epsilon \right\}.$$

Another concept we'll need is the *resolvent*. The resolvent of  $\mathbf{A}$  at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is defined as the matrix  $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathbf{A}}(z) := (z \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ .

Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , prove that the following three sets of numbers in the complex plane are identical:

- (a)  $\Lambda_{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{A})$
- (b) The set of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\|(\mathbf{A} z\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}\|_2 \le \epsilon$  for some unit vector  $\mathbf{v}$ .
- (c)  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \|\operatorname{Res}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(z)\|_{2} \geq 1/\epsilon\}$ , with the convention that  $\|\operatorname{Res}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(z)\|_{2} = \infty$  if  $z \in \Lambda(\boldsymbol{A})$ .
- 3. (Bauer-Fike eigenvalue perturbations) Suppose  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalizable with eigenvalue decomposition  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^{-1}$ . Let  $\Delta \mathbf{A}$  be any matrix satisfying  $\|\Delta \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ . Suppose  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is an(y) eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A} + \Delta \mathbf{A}$ . Show that there is some eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathbf{A})$  such that

$$\left|\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}\right| \leq \epsilon \, \kappa(\boldsymbol{V}),$$

with  $\kappa(V)$  the (2-norm) condition number of V. The typical way to prove this is to exploit the equivalence of sets defining the  $\epsilon$ -pseudospectrum. (E.g., rewrite the resolvent with the knowledge that A is diagonalizable.). If A is unitarily diagonalizable, what does the bound above simplify to?

- **4.** (LU with partial pivoting) Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be invertible. Prove that the row-pivoted LU decomposition algorithm always successfully computes PA = LU.
- 5. (Schur complements) Consider the block matrix

$$M = \left( egin{array}{cc} A & B \ C & D \end{array} 
ight),$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , and B and C have appropriate (generally rectangular) size. Throughout this problem, assume that both A and D are invertible. This problem concerns, among other things, computing the solution vectors to a linear system involving M. The *Schur complement* of the block A of the matrix M is defined as

$$oldsymbol{M}/oldsymbol{A}\coloneqq oldsymbol{D}-oldsymbol{C}oldsymbol{A}^{-1}oldsymbol{B}\in\mathbb{C}^{n imes n},$$

Similarly,  $M/D := A - BD^{-1}C$  is the Schur complement of D of the matrix M. For this problem, you will be performing block matrix operations; in particular, block matrix multiplication works like matrix multiplication with scalars. Perform the following exercises:

(a) If N is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, then its LU decomposition can be determined by asserting the form,

$$oldsymbol{N} = \left( egin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} 
ight) = oldsymbol{L} oldsymbol{U} = \left( egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ w & 1 \end{array} 
ight) \left( egin{array}{cc} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{array} 
ight),$$

and by performing matrix multiplication and solving for w, x, y, z, in terms of the entries of N. Use this same idea to compute a block LU decomposition for M in terms of its blocks A, B, C, D.

- (b) Show that  $\det \mathbf{M} = (\det \mathbf{A})(\det(\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{A}))$ , and hence that  $\mathbf{M}$  is invertible iff both  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{A}$  are invertible. (NB: the familiar formula for the determinant of a 2 × 2 matrix isn't true for block matrices...but you could compute a block LDU decomposition.)
- (c) Assume M is Hermitian. Show that M is positive definite iff both A and M/A are positive definite.
- (d) For generally non-Hermitian M, given vectors  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , consider the following linear system:

$$oldsymbol{M}\left(egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{x} \ oldsymbol{y} \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{f} \ oldsymbol{g} \end{array}
ight), \qquad \qquad oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m, \ oldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Using the block LU decomposition of M, give a formula for x and y that utilizes inverses of only A and M/A.