## CS6210 Homework Set 3 (Fall 2010)

1. (100 pt) Implement in double precision the Gauss-Seidel, SOR, Chebyshev and CG methods for coding the two dimensional Poisson's Problem with known solution to  $u_{xx}(x,y) + u_{yy}(x,y) = f(x,y)$  on the unit square  $[0,1]^2$  equal to

$$u(x, y) = 128 \cdot x(1 - x)y(1 - y).$$

You can see then that we are also assuming boundary conditions u = 0along the perimeter of the square.

First, determine function f(x, y) that corresponds to the above solution. Next, test your code for n = 10, 100, 1000 (or possibly higher if you dare!). Then compare computed solution  $\tilde{u}$  to the exact solution u. You should terminate when u and  $\tilde{u}$  satisfy  $||\tilde{u} - u||_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$  for small  $\epsilon > 0$  appropriately chosen, as discussed in class.

We recall that optimal  $\omega$  for SOR equals  $\frac{2}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{n+1}}$ .

Successful submissions will

- 1. Provide careful derivation of forcing function f.
- 2. Make known the epsilon value(s) used and why chosen.
- 3. Display in a single table for each of the above algorithms values of:

$$\max_{i,j=1,\dots,n} |\widetilde{u}(x_i, y_j) - u(x_i, y_j)|$$

where  $x_i = i \cdot h, y_i = j \cdot h, h = 1/(n+1)$ .

4. Fill in as many positions as possible in the following table including in each case the associated value of  $\epsilon$ . CPU times (CPU) should be in seconds. Numbers in parentheses refer to values for n.

Method	#Iter (10)	CPU (10)	#Iter (100)	CPU (100)	#Iter (1000)	CPU (1000)
G-S						
SOR						
Chebyshev						
CG						

5. Based on formulas presented in class involving eigenvalues and condition numbers, compare and justify expected and actual differences in CPU times and #-iterations among the various algorithms.