ALGORITHM ANALYSIS

cs2420 | Introduction to Algorithms and Data Structures | Spring 2015

administrivia...

-assignment 2 is due Friday at midnight -note change in due date, and time

-tutoring experiment

<http://doodle.com/89cbb4u5n5acy9ag>

CLICKERSIII

ၜ Connection Info Channels 40, 26 Session ID 427738

ARE YOU HERE?

1) yes $2) no$

last time...

-we always see $\langle \rangle$ associated with ArrayList...

ArrayList<Shape> list = new ArrayList<Shape>();

-ArrayList is a **generic class** — we can create any version of it that we want

-**generic programming:** algorithms are written in terms of types to-be-specified-later

-algorithms instantiated when needed for specific types defined by parameters

```
-here's what the code actually looks like:
-the placeholder T is replaced with the real type when
    public class ArrayList<T> { 
      T storage[]; 
      int capacity, numItems; 
      public void add(T item) 
     { … } 
    }
```
you instantiate an $ArrayList$ with \ll

-T can be used as a type anywhere in ArrayList class

-generics allow for type-checking at compile time instead of run-time

-can detect type mismatch **BEFORE** your code runs

```
before generics:
```

```
ArrayList l; 
l.add(new String("hi")); 
Shape i = (Shape) 1.get(0); // crash
```
Alternative:

```
ArrayList<String> l; 
l.add(new String("hi")); 
Shape i = (Shape)1.get(0); // compile error
```
compile-time errors are always better than run-time!

-static methods can have their own generic types

-declare the generic type before the return type: public static <T> boolean doWork(…){…}

-we can refer to T as a type within that method only!

```
-example:
public static <T> boolean contains(T[] array, T item) 
{ 
  for(int i=0; i < array.length; i++)
     if(array[i].equals(item)) 
       return true; 
  return false; 
}
```


-algorithm analysis

-complexity growth rate

-big-O notation

algorithm analysis

-correctness is only half the battle

-programs are expected to terminate in a reasonable amount of time

-running time of a program is strongly correlated to the choice of algorithms used in problem solving

-how much time and space does an algorithm require?

example...

finding a word in a dictionary

algorithm 1:

1)start on the **first** page, **first** entry

2)if word not found, move to the next entry

3)if very end of dictionary reached, word not found

is this algorithm correct?

1) yes

2) no **CAN WE DO BETTER?**

1) yes 2) no

finding a word in a dictionary

algorithm 2:

1)guess which page the entry is on

2)did we go too far?

- go back some pages

3)did we not go far enough?

- go forward some pages

4)continue narrowing

what does this algorithm assume about the dictionary?

-algorithm 1: linear search

-running time directly related to size of dictionary *-assume 180K words, and 0.25s to check one word -12 hours to complete!*

-algorithm 2: binary search

-more like what humans do *-4 seconds to complete!*

$TIME * 2 \longrightarrow O(N)$ TIME + 0.25 → O(logN)

-what if the dictionary doubles?

Algorithm 1 run-time? Algorithm 2 run-time?

- 1) time * 0.5
- 2) time * 2
- 3) time + 0.25
- $time + 10$
- time $*$ 0.5
- time $*$ 2
- 3) time + 0.25
- $time + 10$

a note on logarithms

-a **logarithm** is an exponent indicating the power to which a base is raised to produce a given number

 $log_B N = X$ $B^X = N$ HOW MANY BITS DOES IT TAKE to represent a number?

-by default the base is 2 …we'll come back to this

-the logarithm grows slowly 9 < log 1000 < 10 19 < log 1,000,000 < 20 29 < log 1,000,000,000 < 30

 $-N$ log N is closer to N than N^2

why is binary search O(log N)? why is the default base 2?

finding a word in a dictionary

-binary search will **always** win for large dictionaries -as N increases, the gap between the algorithms becomes larger

-linear search has linear growth rate -graph is a $\rule{1em}{0.15mm}$ line -run time for $N = T$ units of time -run time for $2N = 2T$ units of time

- 1) exponential
- 2) straight
- 3) negative-slope

-binary search has logarithmic growth rate -run time for $N = T$ units of time -run time for $2N = T_{+}$ units of time 1) 1 2) 2 3) 10

growth rate

typical run-time complexities

-knowing that $F(N) < G(N)$ for a particular N is not very useful

-instead, we measure the functions' growth rates

-for sufficiently large N, a function's growth rate is determined by its dominate term

 $10N^2 + 40N + 760$ \longrightarrow WHAT IS THE DOMINATE TERM?

how to get log growth?

-how many bits are needed to represent N consecutive integers?

-starting at $x=1$, how many iterations of $x*2$ before $x>=N?$

-the *repeated doubling* principle

-starting at $x=N$, how many iterations of $x/2$ before $x < 1$?

-the *repeated halving* principle

big-O notation

-**big-O notation** (O) is used to capture the dominate term in an algorithm -assuming large **N**!

-for example, the running time of a quadratic algorithm is N^2 is specified $O(N^2)$

-pronounced "order N squared"

-this notation allows us to establish a relative order among algorithms

 $-O(N log N)$ is better than $O(N^2)$

what's code got to do, got to do with it…

 $-$ O(N²) and O(N³) are impractical for most N

-clever programming tricks **CANNOT** make an inefficient algorithm fast

-a poorly coded linear algorithm trumps a quadratic algorithm in a highly efficient machine language

take away:

optimizing the algorithm (or choosing the best one) will get YOU MUCH FURTHER THAN OPTIMIZING THE CODE

worst, average, best

-**worst-case** is a guarantee on all inputs — it will never be worse than this

-**average-case** is the common case, measured over all possible inputs -this is the most useful!

-**best-case** is the absolute fastest that an algorithm can terminate -we don't care about this because it rarely happens

example...

finding the maximum item in an array

algorithm?

1) initialize max to the first element

2)scan through each item in the array

- if the item is greater than max, update max

what is the big-o complexity of this algorithm?

- 1) c
- 2) log N
- 3) N
- 4) N log N
- 5) N2 6) N3

finding the smallest diference

algorithm?

```
diff = MAX INTEGR;for(int i=0; i<array.length-1; i++){ 
  num1 = array[i];for(int j=i+1; j<array.length; j++)
 { 
    num2 = array[j]; if (abs(num1-num2) < diff) 
      diff = abs(num1-num2); } 
} 
return diff;
                                             1) c
                                            2) log N 
                                            3) N 
                                            4) N log N 
                                            5) N2 
                                             6) N3
```
what is the big-o complexity of this algorithm?

next time...

-reading -chapters 5 & 6

-homework

-assignment 2 due Friday at 11:59pm *-must complete with a partner!*